

**Record of proceedings dated 05.09.2022**

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 47 of 2022	M/s. J. K. Fenner (India) Limited	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to grant open access and credit the energy already injected into the grid towards captive consumption.

Sri P. Sampath Kumar, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioner has received the counter affidavit and he needs further time to file rejoinder in the matter. The rejoinder is already sent for signature of the petitioner. The representative of the respondents has no objection. According the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 51 of 2022 & I. A. No. 41 of 2022	M/s. Pemmasani Solar Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL & its CGM

Petition filed seeking directions for payment of amount deducted towards auxiliary consumption in the monthly bills paid towards power supplied along with interest apart from exemption for not maintaining power factor.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondents to pay power supply bills of the petitioner without adjusting or deducting any amount towards excess auxiliary consumption or variation in the power factor pending disposal of the main original petition.

Sri Raju Yamini, Advocate representing Sri Srinivasa Rao Pachwa, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that counter affidavit has

been received and he needs time for filing rejoinder. The representative for respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 22.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 49 of 2022	M/s. Vena Energy Solar India Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent for payment of dues along with late payment surcharge duly complying with the provisions of PPA of the project situated at Sadasivpet (V), Medak District

Sri Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 50 of 2022	M/s. Vena Energy Solar India Power Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondent for payment of dues along with late payment surcharge duly complying with the provisions of PPA of the project situated at Minpur (V), Medak District

Sri Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no

counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 65 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (10 MW Mustyal plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 66 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (5 MW Achampet plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 67 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (10 MW Pedda Shankarampeta plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar

situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 68 of 2022	M/s. Essel Mining & Industries Limited (10 MW Kalwakurthy plant)	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

Sri Aditya K. Singh, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 52 of 2022 & I. A. No. 42 of 2022	M/s. Ujjvalatejas Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordanced with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to USUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri P.S.S. Bhargava, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 53 of 2022 & I. A. No. 43 of 2022	M/s. Suprasanna Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to SSUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri P.S.S. Bhargava, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission

expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 54 of 2022 & I. A. No. 44 of 2022	M/s. Nirjara Solaire Urja Pvt. Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the respondent and consequently payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent to pay 80% of the pending amounts to NSUPL within one week as well as to deposit the balance 20% of the pending amounts with the Commission.

Sri P.S.S. Bhargava, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 59 of 2022 & I. A. No. 49 of 2022	M/s. Achampet Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to ASPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 60 of 2022 & I. A. No. 48 of 2022	M/s. Padmajiwadi Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to PSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered

similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 61 of 2022 & I. A. No. 46 of 2022	M/s. Ghanpur Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to GSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 62 of 2022 & I. A. No. 50 of 2022	M/s. Thukkapur Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to TSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 63 of 2022 & I. A. No. 51 of 2022	M/s. Renjal Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to RSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time

is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 64 of 2022 & I. A. No. 47 of 2022	M/s. Gummadidala Solar Private Limited	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking release of payments due to the petitioner by the DISCOM and consequential payment of future bills in a timely manner in accordance with PPA.

I. A. filed seeking interim order directing the respondent No. 1 to pay 80% of the pending amounts to GSPL within one week pending final adjudication.

Sri Sai Sanjay Suraneni, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed so far despite granting time for the said purpose. Again time is being sought inspite of the fact that the Commission had already considered similar matters and disposed them. The representative of the respondent stated that the Commission may consider granting further time for filing counter affidavit while conceding the fact that sufficient time has already been given. The Commission expressed its distress that the licensee is placing the Commission in a peculiar situation of not disposing of the matter despite it being the similar to earlier batch of cases. However, in view of the request of the representative of the respondent, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 30.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
R. P. (SR) No. 65 of 2022 in I. A. No. 10 of 2002 in O. P. Nos.29-33 of 2002	M/s. Telangana Ferro Alloys Producers Association	TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking review of the order dated 23.03.2022 passed in I. A. No. 10 of 2002 in O. P. Nos. 29-33 of 2002.

There is no representation for review petitioner. The Commission having noticed that the petitioner has filed an interlocutory application for amending the prayer in the review petition, considered it appropriate to take a decision on the said application and accordingly, the matter is reserved on the said aspect.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman